

Andrey G. Bronevich

**The Description of Least Favorable Pairs in
Huber-Strassen Theory, Finite Case**

JSC "Research, Development and Planning Institute
for Railway Information Technology, Automation and
Telecommunication"

Moscow, RUSSIA

2011

Objectives of the paper:

- Algebraic description of least favorable pairs in Huber-Strassen theory;
- To construct a simple algorithm for searching least favorable pairs;
- To find connections between least favorable pairs and functionals in probability theory (Shannon entropy and Kullback-Leibler distance).

Notation and Definitions

X is a finite set and $\mathfrak{A} = 2^X$ is an algebra of its subsets.

D1. $\mu : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a monotone measure if

1. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0, \mu(X) = 1$;
 2. $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ if $A \subseteq B$ for $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$.
- $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ for $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M_{mon}$ if $\mu_1(A) \leq \mu_2(A)$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$.
 - ν is dual to μ if $\nu(A) = 1 - \mu(A^c), A \in \mathfrak{A}$ ($\nu = \mu^d$).
 - M_{mon} is the set of all monotone measures on \mathfrak{A} .
 - M_{pr} is the set of all probability measures on \mathfrak{A} .

Notation and Definitions

- M_{mon} is the set of all monotone measures on \mathfrak{A} .
- M_{pr} is the set of all probability measures on \mathfrak{A} .
- $M_{low} = \{\mu \in M_{mon} \mid \exists P \in M_{pr} : \mu \leq P\}$ is the set of all lower probabilities on \mathfrak{A} .
- $\mu \in M_{mon}$ is a coherent lower probability if $\exists P \in M_{pr} : \mu \leq P, \mu(B) = P(B)$.
- M_{coh} is the set of all coherent lower probabilities on \mathfrak{A} .
- $\mu \in M_{mon}$ is 2-monotone if $\mu(A) + \mu(B) \leq \mu(A \cup B) + \mu(A \cap B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$;
- M_{2-mon} is the set of all 2-monotone measures on \mathfrak{A} .

Neymann-Pearson testing for 2-monotone measures

Let two hypotheses H_0 and H_1 be described by 2-monotone measures μ_0 and μ_1 . Then any optimal test between them can be found by solving the following optimization problem:

$$q_{\mu_0^d, \mu_1^d}(t) = \min_{A \in 2^X} \{ (1 - t)\mu_0^d(A) + t\mu_1^d(A^c) \},$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$.

$q_{\mu_0^d, \mu_1^d}(t)$ is the exact upper probability of error if we use the Bayesian classifier and the prior probability of H_0 is $(1 - t)$ and the prior probability of H_1 is t .

Neymann-Pearson testing for 2-monotone measures

$q_{\mu_0^d, \mu_1^d}(t)$ can be rewritten as

$$q_{\mu_0^d, \mu_1^d}(t) = \min_{A \in 2^X} \max_{\substack{P_0 \in \text{core}(\mu_0), \\ P_1 \in \text{core}(\mu_1)}} (1-t)P_0(A) + tP_1(A^c),$$

By Huber-Strassen theory, there is a pair $(P_0, P_1) \in \text{core}(\mu_0) \times \text{core}(\mu_1)$ (least favorable) such that

$$q_{\mu_0^d, \mu_1^d}(t) = \min_{A \in 2^X} \{(1-t)P_0(A) + tP_1(A^c)\}.$$

Algebraic description of the optimization problem

Notation. Let $t \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1}(t) = \left\{ A \in 2^X \mid (1-t)\mu_0^d(A) + t\mu_1^d(A^c) = q_{\mu_0^d, \mu_1^d}(t) \right\};$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1} = \bigcup_{t \in (0,1)} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1}(t).$$

Proposition 1. Let $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in M_{2-mon}$, $A \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1}(t)$, $B \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1}(s)$, and $t \leq s$. Then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1}(t)$ and $A \cup B \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1}(s)$.

Corollary 1. $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1}$ is a lattice, and monotone measures μ_0^d and μ_1 are additive on $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1}$.

Algebraic description ... Part 2

Notation.

$$\underline{A}_t = \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1}(t)} A, \quad \bar{A}_t = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1}(t)} A.$$

P1. $\underline{A}_t, \bar{A}_t \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1}(t)$ by Proposition 1.

Proposition 2. Let $P_0, P_1 \in M_{pr}$. Then for any $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\mathcal{L}_{P_0, P_1}(t) = \{A \in 2^X \mid \underline{A}_t \subseteq A \subseteq \bar{A}_t\},$$

where

$$\underline{A}_t = \{x \mid (1-t)P_0(\{x\}) < tP_1(\{x\})\},$$

$$\bar{A}_t = \{x \mid (1-t)P_0(\{x\}) \leq tP_1(\{x\})\}.$$

Algebraic description ... Part 3

The likelihood ratio of probability measures P_0 and P_1 can be defined by functions $\underline{\pi} : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$, $\bar{\pi} : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ and

1) $\underline{\pi}(x) = \bar{\pi}(x) = P_0(\{x\})/P_1(\{x\})$ if at least one of the values $P_0(\{x\})$ and $P_1(\{x\})$ is greater than zero (we define $\underline{\pi}(x) = \bar{\pi}(x) = +\infty$ if $P_0(\{x\}) > 0$ and $P_1(\{x\}) = 0$);

2) $\underline{\pi}(x) = 0$ and $\bar{\pi}(x) = +\infty$ if $P_0(\{x\}) = 0$ and $P_1(\{x\}) > 0$.

P2. $\underline{A}_t = \{x \in X \mid \bar{\pi}(x) < t/(1-t)\}$,
 $\bar{A}_t = \{x \in X \mid \underline{\pi}(x) \leq t/(1-t)\}$.

Necessary and sufficient conditions for least favorable pairs

Lemma 1. Let $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in M_{2\text{-mon}}$, $P_0 \in \text{core}(\mu_0)$ and $P_1 \in \text{core}(\mu_1)$ such that $q_{\mu_0^d, \mu_1^d}(t) = q_{P_0, P_1}(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1}(t) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{P_0, P_1}(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 2. Let the conditions of Lemma 1 hold and $\mathcal{L}_{P_0, P_1}(t) = \mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1}(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Then the likelihood ratio of (P_0, P_1) is uniquely defined on X by

1. $\underline{\pi}(x) = 0$ and $\bar{\pi}(x) = +\infty$ if $x \in \bar{A}_0 \setminus \underline{A}_1$;
2. $\underline{\pi}(x) = \bar{\pi}(x) = \sup \{t/(1-t) \mid x \in \bar{A}_t, t \in [0, 1]\}$ if $x \in \underline{A}_1$;
3. $\underline{\pi}(x) = \bar{\pi}(x) = +\infty$ if $x \in X \setminus (\bar{A}_0 \cup \underline{A}_1)$.

Characterization through likelihood ratio

D2. Functions $\underline{\pi}(x)$ and $\bar{\pi}(x)$ from Lemma 2 are called a likelihood ratio of 2-monotone measures μ_0, μ_1 .

Lemma 3. Let $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in M_{2-mon}$ and let $P_0 \in core(\mu_0)$ and $P_1 \in core(\mu_1)$ be such that $q_{\mu_0^d, \mu_1^d}(t) = q_{P_0, P_1}(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Then the likelihood ratio of (P_0, P_1) is equal to the likelihood ratio of (μ_0, μ_1) in all points, where at least $P_0(\{x\}) > 0$ or $P_1(\{x\}) > 0$.

Necessity and sufficiency

Proposition 3. Let $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in M_{2-mon}$, and let \underline{A}_t be the minimal elements of $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1}(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Assume also that $P_0 \in core(\mu_0)$ and $P_1 \in core(\mu_1)$.

Then $q_{\mu_0^d, \mu_1^d}(t) = q_{P_0, P_1}(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ iff

1. $P_0(\underline{A}_t) = \mu_0^d(\underline{A}_t)$, $P_1(\underline{A}_t) = \mu_1^d(\underline{A}_t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.
2. The likelihood ratio of (P_0, P_1) is equal to the likelihood ratio of (μ_0, μ_1) in all points, where at least $P_0(\{x\}) > 0$ or $P_1(\{x\}) > 0$.

Existance of least favor. pairs

Proposition 4. Let $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in M_{2-mon}$, \bar{A}_t and \underline{A}_t be maximal and minimal elements of $\mathcal{L}_{\mu_0, \mu_1}(t)$, respectively. Then there are $P_0 \in core(\mu_0)$ and $P_1 \in core(\mu_1)$ such that

1. $P_0(\underline{A}_t) = \mu_0^d(\underline{A}_t)$, $P_1(\underline{A}_t) = \mu_1(\underline{A}_t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.
2. $q_{\mu_0^d, \mu_1^d}(t) = q_{P_0, P_1}(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Description of sets $\{\underline{A}_t\}$

$\exists \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{m-1}\}$ such that

$0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{m-1} = 1$, $\underline{\pi}(x) = t_k/(1 - t_k)$ if $x \in \underline{A}_{t_{k+1}} \setminus \underline{A}_{t_k}$, $k = 1, \dots, m - 2$.

Notation.

$B_k = \underline{A}_{t_k}$, $k = 1, \dots, m - 1$, $B_m = (X \setminus \bar{A}_0) \cup \underline{A}_1$.

P3.

1. $\underline{\pi}(x) = \bar{\pi}(x) = t_k/(1 - t_k)$ if $x \in B_{k+1} \setminus B_k$,
 $k = 1, \dots, m - 1$.
2. $\underline{\pi}(x) = \bar{\pi}(x) = 0$ if $x \in B_1$. $\underline{\pi}(x) = 0$ and
 $\bar{\pi}(x) = +\infty$ if $x \in X \setminus B_m$.

Description of least favor. pairs

Corollary 2. Let $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in M_{2-mon}$. Then every least favorable pair (P_0, P_1) can be represented as

$$P_0 = \sum_{k=2}^{m+1} (\mu_0^d(B_k) - \mu_0^d(B_{k-1})) (P_0)_{B_k \setminus B_{k-1}},$$
$$P_1 = \sum_{k=1}^m (\mu_1(B_k) - \mu_1(B_{k-1})) (P_1)_{B_k \setminus B_{k-1}},$$

where conditional probability measures satisfy the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_1)_{B_1} &\leq (P_1)_{B_1}; \\ (\mu_1)_{B_k \setminus B_{k-1}} &\leq (P_1)_{B_k \setminus B_{k-1}} = (P_0)_{B_k \setminus B_{k-1}} \leq \\ &(\mu_0^d)_{B_k \setminus B_{k-1}}, \quad k = 2, \dots, m-1; \\ (P_0)_{B_m \setminus B_{m-1}} &\leq (\mu_0^d)_{B_m \setminus B_{m-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Searching sets B_k

Lemma 4. The choice of sets B_k , $k = 1, \dots, m$, is produced as follows:

a) B_1 is the set with the smallest cardinality such that

$$\mu_1(B_1) = \max \{ \mu_1(B) \mid \mu_0^d(B) = 0 \};$$

b) If sets $B_0 = \emptyset, B_1, \dots, B_{k-1}$, $k \geq 2$, are known and $\mu_1(B_{k-1}) < 1$. Then B_k should be chosen from the set Ω of possible solutions of the following optimization problem

$$\min_{B \mid \substack{B_{k-1} \subset B \\ \mu_1(B) > \mu_1(B_{k-1})}} \frac{\mu_0^d(B) - \mu_0^d(B_{k-1})}{\mu_1(B) - \mu_1(B_{k-1})}.$$

Searching sets B_k , Part 2

If $|\Omega| \neq 1$, then the set B_k should be with the smallest cardinality such that

$$\mu_1(B_k) = \max_{B \in \Omega} \mu_1(B).$$

c) the set B_m ($\mu_1(B_{m-1}) = 1$) is the set with the smallest cardinality from

$$\{B \in \mathfrak{A} \mid B \supseteq B_{m-1}, \mu_0^d(B) = 1\}.$$

The above conditions define sets B_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, uniquely.

Characterization of least favorable pairs by functionals

Theorem 1. Let $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in M_{2-mon}$ and let Φ be any twice continuously differentiable function on $[0, 1]$, such that $\Phi'' > 0$. Then the pair $(Q_0, Q_1) \in core(\mu_0) \times core(\mu_1)$ minimizes the functional

$$H(P_0, P_1) = \int_X \Phi \left(\frac{dP_0}{dP_0 + dP_1} \right) d(P_0 + P_1)$$

among all $(P_0, P_1) \in core(\mu_0) \times core(\mu_1)$ iff $q_{P_0, P_1}(t) \leq q_{Q_0, Q_1}(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Characterization of least favorable pairs by functionals

Corollary 3. Let us use assumptions and notations from Theorem 1. Then

$$H(P_0, P_1) = \int_X \Phi \left(\frac{dP_0}{dP_0 + dP_1} \right) d(P_0 + P_1) = \int_0^1 (t - q_{P_0, P_1}(t)) \Phi''(t) dt - \Phi'(1) - \Phi(1).$$

Characterization of least favorable pairs by functionals

Corollary 4. Let $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in M_{2-mon}$ and let $\Phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be any twice continuously differentiable function on $(0, 1)$, such that

1. $\Phi''(y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in (0, 1)$.
2. $\Phi(0) = \lim_{y \rightarrow +0} \Phi(y)$. $\Phi(1) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 1-0} \Phi(y)$.

Then any least favorable pair $(Q_0, Q_1) \in \text{core}(\mu_0) \times \text{core}(\mu_1)$ minimizes the functional

$$H(P_0, P_1) = \int_X \Phi \left(\frac{dP_0}{dP_0 + dP_1} \right) d(P_0 + P_1)$$

among all $(P_0, P_1) \in \text{core}(\mu_0) \times \text{core}(\mu_1)$.

Characterization of least favorable pairs by functionals

Corollary 5. Let us use notations from Corollary 2 and $(P_0, P_1) \in \text{core}(\mu_0) \times \text{core}(\mu_1)$ be a least favorable pair. Let $\nu = \mu_0^d + \mu_1$. Then

$$H(P_0, P_1) = \sum_{k=1}^m \Phi \left(\frac{\mu_0^d(B_k) - \mu_0^d(B_{k-1})}{\nu(B_k) - \nu(B_{k-1})} \right) (\nu(B_k) - \nu(B_{k-1})).$$

Remark. To compute the minimum of $H(P_0, P_1)$ among all $(P_0, P_1) \in \text{core}(\mu_0) \times \text{core}(\mu_1)$ it is not necessary to search a least favorable pair.

Connection to K.-L. distance

Example 2. The Kullback-Leibler distance is

$$D_{KL}(P_1, P_0) = \int_X \ln \left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0} \right) dP_1.$$

It can be rewritten as

$$D_{KL}(P_1, P_0) = \int_X \Phi(y) d(P_1 + P_0),$$

where $\Phi(y) = (1 - y) \ln \left(\frac{1-y}{y} \right)$. In this case

$$\varphi(y) = \Phi''(y) = \frac{1}{y^2(1-y)} \geq 0 \text{ for all } y \in (0, 1).$$

Thus, any least favorable pair (Q_0, Q_1) minimizes the functional $D_{KL}(P_1, P_0)$ among all $(P_0, P_1) \in \text{core}(\mu_0) \times \text{core}(\mu_1)$.

Conclusion

- The algebraic description of least favorable pairs is given.
- An effective algorithm for searching least favorable pairs is constructed.
- It is established the connection between computing functionals (Shannon entropy and Kullback-Leibler distance) and searching least favorable pairs.

Thank you for attention!!!